



the partnership
for water sustainability in bc

Waterbucket eNews on April 12, 2022
<https://waterbucket.ca/wscblog/>



**Living Water Smart
in British Columbia:
*A Once-in-a-Decade
Opportunity to Strengthen the
Foundation for Water Law***

Note to Reader:

[Waterbucket eNews](#)¹ celebrates the leadership of individuals and organizations who are guided by the vision for [Living Water Smart in British Columbia](#)².

In this second in a series of articles about challenges and gaps in the *Water Sustainability Act*, retired senior civil servants Donna Forsyth and Mike Wei explain what “vesting of water” means and why everyone in British Columbia should care. The online version was published on April 12, 2022.

The umbrella for Partnership initiatives and programs is the [Water Sustainability Action Plan for British Columbia](#)³. In turn, the Action Plan is nested within [Living Water Smart, British Columbia's Water Plan](#).



Cover Image Credit: photo by David Mackenzie,
a *Lifetime Member* of the Partnership for Water Sustainability

¹ <https://waterbucket.ca/wscblog/>

² https://waterbucket.ca/wcp/wp-content/uploads/sites/6/2017/11/livingwatersmart_book.pdf

³ <https://www.waterbucket.ca/cfa/sites/wbccfa/documents/media/81.pdf>

Editor's Perspective

The Partnership for Water Sustainability has no current position on **"vesting of water"**. Unvested water occurs primarily on private land. This statement of fact sets the context for this edition of Waterbucket eNews. When Donna Forsyth and Mike Wei said they wished to contribute an article about **vested versus unvested water**, my initial reaction was what does that mean and why should I care? More importantly, why would our readers care, I added.

On the other hand, if there is one sector that does care and does know what vesting means, it is the agriculture sector. There are many instances of water collected from their properties before it enters the ground or a stream that are evident and producers know that in this case, they currently do not need a licence. This example serves as a reminder of the importance of thinking through an issue to avoid unintended consequences. And that is a takeaway message for this article.

Donna and Mike have drawn attention to a jurisdictional gap in the Water Sustainability Act because of the potential for a domino effect. Initially I had trouble wrapping my mind around why Waterbucket eNews would feature a topic related to water law. However, I do respect the passion Donna and Mike bring to a conversation about **challenges and gaps in the *Water Sustainability Act***. So, I asked, is there a "story behind the story" that would interest our readers?



Why should we care whether use of water is vested or not?

When Donna referred to the **inter-generational baton**, she had my attention. She described Mike and her desire to hand off the baton so that WSA history, understanding and expertise are not lost when needed most.

When each generation, and this includes governments, is receptive to accepting the inter-generational baton and welcoming the wisdom that goes with it, the decisions of successive generations will benefit from and build upon the experience of those who went before them.

Timing is Everything: Donna Forsyth and Mike Wei bring an informed perspective to their quest to strengthen the foundation for BC water law. They draw on decades of experience to pull threads of understanding from the past through to the present. They are keen to share their insights with an audience that cares about water.

The window of opportunity for them to draw attention to the jurisdictional gap is the current engagement process for the Watershed Security Strategy. Timing is everything because one only gets one chance per decade. This is the reason they are so passionate about the need for government to deal with lingering issues before they morph into unintended consequences.

It took multiple conversations for Donna and Mike to explain vesting of water in terms that I could begin to understand. In the end, it came down to one statement that intuitively made sense to me, **unvested water is unregulated in all aspects and that creates operational risks**. Because I am a water resources engineer by training and experience, risk management is a theme that resonates with me.

What Do You Wonder? Mike and Donna then explained that government can include exemptions once water is vested. This is what provides operational flexibility. The real issue, they emphasized, centres on what government cannot do when the water use involves unvested water.

Now I think I understood why I should care, and I am wondering how you the reader will respond to the "story behind the story" that follows. **Will it be, "so what"? Or will it be, "so what can be done"?** Either way, you will at least be aware that there is a jurisdictional gap!



Kim A. Stephens, MEng, PEng,
Executive Director

Partnership for Water Sustainability in BC
April 2022



Context for article by Donna Forsyth and Mike Wei

When the Water Sustainability Act (WSA) came into force in 2016, “**certain things were left behind**”. Now, the Province's [Discussion Paper on Watershed Security Strategy](#) represents a once per decade window of opportunity for government to revisit assumptions and decisions that defined the WSA scope, reflect on the context for those assumptions and decisions, and determine what action should be taken in light of new understanding.

30-Second Takeaway

The purpose of this section is to provide a bridge to the article that follows. This is the “30-Second Takeaway” from the e-newsletter version of Waterbucket eNews.

For context, consider that the "request for legislation" that became WSA was introduced in 2012. It then took until 2016 before the WSA came into force. On the 10th anniversary of that request, the door has again opened. Hence, the importance of drawing attention to known gaps and challenges in the WSA.

In this edition, and as a public service in the broader interest of contributing to a balanced conversation about water resource management in BC, the Partnership for Water Sustainability is sharing an article contributed by Donna Forsyth and Mike Wei.

A Once-in-a-Decade Opportunity

Previously, retired senior civil servants Donna Forsyth and Mike Wei introduced five challenges and gaps in broad-brush terms in the April 5, 2022 edition of Waterbucket eNews ⁴.

Addressing the five would bring the WSA to the next level which Donna and Mike call “**WSA 2.0**”.

Their analysis on the need for WSA 2.0 was originally submitted to the government in response to the engagement on the [Watershed Security Strategy](#) discussion paper.

However, when they looked at the gap in the WSA resulting from the existence of “unvested water”, they soon realised that the implications of this gap go much further and it could limit the application of any and all water-related laws in the province. For this reason, Donna Forsyth and Mike Wei wish to focus reader attention on what they believe is the urgent need to “vest all water” in BC.

⁴ https://waterbucket.ca/wcp/wp-content/uploads/sites/6/2022/04/PWSBC_Living-Water-Smart_Water-Sustainability-Act-2.0__2022.pdf




“Vesting all water does not mean the use of every drop will or needs to be regulated. That concern is a red herring.

The real issue centres on what government cannot do when the water use involves unvested water. The concern should be whether a jurisdictional gap in the *Water Sustainability Act* has a domino effect. My understanding is that unvested water use remains outside all water-related provincial legislation. Once the implications of this gap become part of the conversation, the need for vesting all water should become both highly ‘visible’ and impossible to ignore.”

Donna Forsyth, former Legislative Advisor, BC Ministry of Environment

Donna Forsyth worked for 13 years with the Ministry of Environment as a legislative advisor, focusing on water legislation. She was the team lead for the drafting of the *Water Sustainability Act* (WSA) and many of the supporting regulations. In her role as legislative advisor, she also gained expertise in BC’s oil and gas legislation. Donna retired from government in 2020.



“Donna Forsyth and I are saying that vesting of water by government is ultra-important. But what vesting means is almost invisible to most people. Often it is assumed that ALL water is vested in BC, but NOT ALL water is vested. Over the years, my thinking has evolved - from thinking ‘so why should I care’, to appreciating that legally vesting is ultra important because of how it translates into what government can and cannot do operationally.”

MIKE WEI

FORMER DEPUTY COMPTROLLER OF WATER RIGHTS,
BC MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT

Mike Wei worked 36 years with the Ministry of Environment and was the provincial groundwater program lead. He was a key member of the team that drafted the WSA, the Water Sustainability Regulation and the Groundwater Protection Regulation under the WSA. Mike retired from government in 2018.

What Vesting of Water Means and Why Everyone Should Care: *Story Behind the Story*

Vested versus Unvested Water

"Simply put, since 'vesting' is the legal concept that gives the province the ownership' of water that gives them the authority to manage its diversion and use, none of the provincial laws around water will apply to 'unvested water'," explain Donna Forsyth and Mike Wei.

"Currently, the wording of section 6 of the WSA establishes the vesting 'boundaries' in BC. The result is that water that has not reached a 'stream' or gone into the ground is 'unvested'. This would include harvested rainwater or snow."

Why is this a problem?

"Originally we focused on the result that there is no capacity to manage, restrict or regulate the diversion or use of unvested water in order to protect the environment or other water users using the tools under the WSA and that this limitation would present additional challenges for the Watershed Security Strategy," state the authors.

"Now we realise that it is possible that no water-related legislation, bylaw, plan or strategy can be applied to 'unvested water'."

"For example, that means that the laws that prevent the bulk transport of water out of the province do not apply. Neither do the laws that protect drinking water users. In addition, the authority for local governments to manage drainage and storm water through bylaws may not exist, because their ability to make bylaws generally comes from a delegation of the provincial authority."

A Call to Action

"This analysis has led us to conclude that our first 'deeper dive' into the challenges with the WSA needs to be a 'call to action' to examine the implications that unvested water has on all water legislation in the province, including the implementation of the WSA tools and the Watershed Security Strategy."

"At the very least, there is an urgent need to review the impact of having 'unvested water' use and users sitting outside the scope of any of our water-related provincial laws," conclude Donna Forsyth and Mike Wei.

Vesting of Water Across Canada

*In their article titled **Why we need to talk about "unvested water" in BC**, Donna Forsyth and Mike Wei include a table summarizing the vesting provisions for the provincial and territorial jurisdictions in Canada.*

This scan shows that vesting of all water is common in other Canadian jurisdictions with the exception of BC, Prince Edward Island and Newfoundland-Labrador where only groundwater and surface water in watercourses appear to be vested.

Why we need to talk about “unvested water” in BC

**An article contributed to
Waterbucket eNews by
Donna Forsyth and Mike Wei**

April 12, 2022

Why we need to talk about “unvested water” in BC

By Donna Forsyth & Mike Wei, April 2022

Vesting of water is one of five challenges and gaps that Donna Forsyth and Wei, retired senior civil servants in the Ministry of Environment, suggest being front-and-centre in the next round of government priorities.

EDITOR’S NOTE:

This article by Donna Forsyth and Mike Wei is what they describe as a “deeper dive” into one of the five challenges they identified in their March 2022 submission to the provincial government.

The opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the Partnership for Water Sustainability or any of its partners. We are sharing the article as a public service in the broader interest of contributing to a balanced conversation about water resource management in BC.

*The mechanism for bringing these priorities forward is the current consultation process for the **Discussion Paper on Watershed Security Strategy and Fund**. It has identified 10 desired outcomes. Donna Forsyth and Mike Wei believe that addressing the five challenges and gaps would bring the Water Sustainability Act to the next level which they call “WSA 2.0”. In the article that follows, they elaborate.*

So, what is vesting?

In a nutshell, “vesting” is the legal concept that has historically been used to establish the government’s authority to write the laws that govern water use in BC. This means that any use of water that is unvested remains outside of those provincial laws.

Arguably, vesting also provides the government with the authority to regulate activities for protecting the aquatic environment, conserving the water resource, in addition to protecting the use of that water by those who hold water rights. Vesting would also provide authority to ensure the safety of our drinking water.

Implications for the Watershed Security Strategy when water is unvested

In our March 2022 submission to the Province⁵, we specifically raised the issue of the impacts of unvested water on the success of the **Watershed Security Strategy** and on the future of water protection and management in BC.

In responding to the invitation from the Province, our intention was to prioritise the conversation around vesting, starting with the lack of fairness resulting from the different rules for the use of unvested versus vested water.

Context

Vesting establishes government’s authority to write the laws that govern water use.

⁵ https://waterbucket.ca/wcp/wp-content/uploads/sites/6/2022/04/PWSBC_Living-Water-Smart_Water-Sustainability-Act-2.0__2022.pdf

Since the historical concept of “right of capture”⁶ would apply for users of unvested water, the only rule that currently applies is that the water must be captured before it becomes vested by entering a “stream” or percolating into the ground to become groundwater as defined in the [Water Sustainability Act](#) (WSA).

An example is use of water for fracking: We also noted that the lack of regulatory authority over unvested water has already resulted in the business of private water sales to oil and gas operators for fracking. The water being sold is primarily from hundreds of dugouts constructed on farmland in northeast BC⁷.

Government has provided guidance⁸ on the use of water from dugouts for agricultural purposes such as watering livestock and has acknowledged that the water being sold from these dugouts is likely a mixture of both vested and unvested water.

Consequences when water is outside the authority of the WSA: Despite the message that water licences are required for private water sales as soon as any water in the dugout is vested, the administrative and technical nightmare of establishing what water is vested has undermined any enforcement of these requirements.

There is neither the capacity nor the information needed to establish the proportion of vested water being sold. So, as a result, this water has effectively become a “cash crop” and farmland is being turned into dugouts.

Not only does the use of unvested water sit outside of the authority of the WSA, maintaining the status quo around vesting means that there will be many other situations where the line between vested and unvested water will need to be determined.

What this means for the Watershed Security Strategy: The need to determine where the line is between vested and unvested will create difficulties for both the implementation of the WSA tools and the [Watershed Security Strategy](#) process.

One of the most critical challenges for the strategy will be that unvested water users may be perfectly entitled to ignore both the [Watershed Security Strategy](#) process and attempts to restrict their water use using Water Sustainability Plans or other tools under the WSA could be challenged. Also, there could be challenges to any tools meant to encourage and regulate water conservation such as through rainwater harvesting and storage.

What This Means

Unvested water users can ignore the Watershed Security Strategy process.

⁶ https://waterbucket.ca/wcp/wp-content/uploads/sites/6/2022/04/Legality-of-Rainwater-Harvesting_Katie-Duke_2014.pdf

⁷ https://waterbucket.ca/wcp/wp-content/uploads/sites/6/2022/04/Report-on-Managing-Dugouts_Jim-Mattison_2017.pdf

⁸ <https://waterbucket.ca/wcp/wp-content/uploads/sites/6/2022/01/Guidance-on-Farm-Water-Storage.pdf>

Implications of the “vesting gap” for other water-related legislation

In addition to the these limits on the WSA and the [Watershed Security Strategy](#) processes, we also raise the important issue of the potential impacts of this jurisdictional gap for other water-related legislation in BC. This has led us to ask three questions.

Context for Three Scenarios

The stated purpose of Donna Forsyth and Mike Wei in posing three scenario-type questions is to inform and prompt conversations about the implications inherent in the questions.

Their goal is to ensure that all parties involved in processes that involve water and water legislation understand vested versus unvested concepts, and their operational implications.

The authors stress that this article is not meant to provide the answers to all three questions. They also note that some answers will be much clearer than others.

Question One: Do the restrictions on bulk water transport apply to unvested water?

As we noted in our submission in response to the [Discussion Paper on Watershed Security Strategy and Fund](#) it is likely that none of the tools in the WSA apply to unvested water.

The [Water Protection Act](#) (WPA) was brought into force in the 1990’s to protect BC’s water resource by restricting the bulk transport of water out of the province.

Groundwater was vested in a provision of the [Water Protection Act](#), presumably to ensure that the rules of bulk water transport put into place would clearly apply to groundwater, despite the fact that licences for groundwater use were not yet required under the Water Act.

The [Water Protection Act](#) provision for vesting groundwater was later rolled up into the WSA, so now the vesting provisions are in one place, in s. 5 WSA. While the rest of the [Water Protection Act](#) remains in place and limits the transport of vested water out of the province, these restrictions may not apply for unvested water.

Given the importance of protecting and conserving water in BC, it is necessary to at least discuss whether the [Water Protection Act](#) applies to unvested water. If not, we believe the risk of being unable to restrict the transport of unvested water outside of BC must be assessed.

Question Two: Can the users of unvested water for drinking water be protected and can their suppliers be regulated?

We also wonder if the authority to regulate drinking water is also tied to the province’s general authority over water under the WSA. If the province does not have authority over unvested water, the use of rainwater and other unvested water would also be outside the jurisdiction of the [Drinking Water Protection Act](#).

In the future, the construction of cisterns for water supply to communities in water stressed areas could become the norm. Thus, the authority to regulate the use of this water for drinking water under the [Drinking Water Protection Act](#) may be questioned.

Question Three: Can local governments and municipalities enforce bylaws that apply to unvested water?

In general, local governments and municipalities get their authority through the delegation of specific authorities from the province. It is not clear whether the limits on the provincial jurisdiction over unvested water would impact the authority for municipal bylaws around drainage and rainwater capture now or in the future.

By extension we also ask whether the [Modern Land Use Planning](#) process and any legislation developed to implement that process can apply to activities around unvested water.

Going forward, the government will need to also assess the risk of maintaining the current gap in its authority resulting from unvested water and the impact of this limitation on its ability to implement climate change adaptation strategies.

Synopsis of perceived risks of vesting all water in British Columbia

Next, we comment on two counter arguments to our concerns about the operational implications of unvested water in BC.

Argument #1 - “We cannot and should not try to regulate every drop of water”

Vesting all water does not mean the use of every drop will or needs to be regulated.

Under the vesting umbrella, activities can be exempted. In contrast, activities around unvested water cannot be restricted, no matter what their impacts.

This concern is a red herring, and it has certainly not prevented other jurisdictions from vesting all their water as shown in Table 1. Laws can be developed to exclude certain activities that are brought in under the vesting umbrella.

Examples of these exclusions are already in BC’s water legislation. Division 4 of the [Water Sustainability Regulation](#) lists exempted activities that would otherwise require a water authorization under the WSA.

These provisions exclude the normal requirements for those activities but still allow the government to act where there is risk of significant impact.

The Watershed Security Strategy represents an opportunity to enhance the authority to protect and manage water.

Risks associated with unvested uses of water: In contrast, activities around unvested water cannot be restricted and impacts to the environment or to other water users cannot be addressed, no matter how significant they become.

Bringing the unvested water into provincial authority clearly would enhance the authority to protect and manage water. Not bringing unvested water into the equation will completely remove it from the regulations and processes being developed going forward.

In addition, keeping some of the water unvested retains the current administrative and technical nightmare of trying to prove whether every drop of water is vested in order to establish the government's authority over it.

What authorities do other jurisdictions have over water?

Table 1 provides the vesting provisions for the provincial and territorial jurisdictions in Canada.

To summarise, it appears that vesting of all water is common in other Canadian jurisdictions with the exception of BC, Prince Edward Island and Newfoundland-Labrador where only groundwater and surface water in watercourses appear to be vested.

Argument #2 - First Nations will object to bringing more water under BC's colonial laws

Much has happened in the 10 years since the "request for legislation" for the WSA was approved by the government in 2012. Since then, [United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous People](#) (UNDRIP) was adopted and the BC [Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous People Act](#) (DRIP) legislation was passed. In addition, the government's **"Action Plan for implementing UNDRIP"** was released in March 2022.

We believe the opportunity to move forward together has never been greater. The process of moving forward will be unnecessarily complicated if colonial laws only cover part of the water. Instead, providing certainty with BC's provincial laws and the jurisdiction of the current laws can assist the future conversations around both colonial and indigenous laws governing water in the future.

The opportunity to move forward together has never been greater.

A closing perspective on why the laws of BC need to be assessed for impacts from lack of authority over unvested water

Starting with the WSA, we believe there is clearly a need to assess the impact of retaining the current status of unvested water in BC. We have highlighted a number of other key pieces of legislation, as well as government initiatives, that could also be impacted by the current jurisdictional gap in the law.

Rippling consequences when there is a jurisdictional gap:

At the very least, there are impacts to the implementation of the WSA. In our judgment, the [Watershed Security Strategy](#) processes will therefore be vulnerable due to the lack of authority and the resulting lack of participation of those using unvested water.

Worse, the gap in jurisdiction may affect other legislation, such as restrictions around bulk water transport where unregulated harvesting of snowmelt, rainwater and overland flow, could allow significant volumes of unvested water to be removed from the province.

Seizing the once per decade opportunity: The [Discussion Paper on Watershed Security Strategy](#) process provides us all with the opportunity to discuss WSA 2.0 which would include amending the Act to address the lack of jurisdiction over unvested water in BC.




Table 1 – Summary of Across-Canada Research on Vesting of Water Use

Canadian Jurisdiction - Act	Date	Water defined	Vesting
British Columbia – Water Sustainability Act	2016	“Stream”, “groundwater” and “aquifer” are defined; “water” is not defined.	5 (1)The property in and the right to the use and flow of all the water at any time in a stream in British Columbia are for all purposes vested in the government, except insofar as private rights have been established under authorizations. (2)The property in and the right to the use, percolation and flow of groundwater, wherever groundwater is found in British Columbia, are for all purposes vested in the government and are conclusively deemed to have always been vested in the government except insofar as private rights have been (a)established under authorizations, or (b)deemed under section 22 (8) [precedence of rights]. (3)No right to divert or use water may be acquired by prescription.
Alberta – Water Act	2021	“water” means all water on or under the surface of the ground, whether in liquid or solid state;	3(2) The property in and the right to the diversion and use of all water in the Province is vested in Her Majesty in right of Alberta except as provided for in the regulations.
Saskatchewan – The Water Security Agency Act	2019	“water” means ground water or surface water;	38(1) The property in and the right to the use of all ground water and surface water is, and is deemed always to have been, vested in the Crown.
Manitoba – The Water Rights Act	2022	"water" means all water on or below the surface of the ground;	52 Except as otherwise provided in this Act, all property in, and all rights to the use, diversion or control of, all water in the province, insofar as the legislative jurisdiction of the Legislature extends thereto, are vested in the Crown in right of Manitoba.
Ontario – Water Resource Act	2021	“waters” means a well, lake, river, pond, spring, stream, reservoir, artificial watercourse, intermittent watercourse, ground water or other water or watercourse;	29 (1) For the purposes of this Act, the Minister has the supervision of all surface waters and ground waters in Ontario.
Quebec – Act to Confirm the Collective Nature of Water Resources and to Promote Better Governance of Water and Associated Environments	2021		1. Being of vital interest, both surface water and groundwater, in their natural state, are resources that are part of the common heritage of the Québec nation.
New Brunswick – Clean Water Act	1989	“water” includes (a) flowing or standing water whether on or below the surface of the earth, and (b) the ice of any body of water;	9 The control of all water within the confines of the Province is declared to be, and to have been at all times past, vested in the Crown in right of the Province and no right to use or divert water can be acquired by prescription.
Nova Scotia – Water Resources Protection Act and Environment Act	2000’ 1994- 95	"water" means all surface water or groundwater and, for greater certainty, includes such water in the form of ice (Water Resources Protection Act) “water resource” means all fresh and marine waters comprising all surface water, groundwater and coastal water; (Environment Act)	S103 (of the Environment Act) Notwithstanding any enactment, or any grant, deed or transfer made on or before May 16, 1919, whether by Her Majesty or otherwise, or any possession, occupation, use or obstruction of any watercourse, or any use of any water by any person for any time whatever, but subject to subsection 3(2) of the Water Act, every watercourse and the sole and exclusive right to use, divert and appropriate any and all water at any time in any watercourse is vested forever in Her Majesty in right of the Province and is deemed conclusively to have been so vested since May 16, 1919, and is fully freed, discharged and released of and from every fishery, right to take fish, easement, profit à prendre and of and from every estate, interest, claim, right and privilege, whether or not of the kind hereinbefore enumerated, and is deemed conclusively to have been so fully freed, discharged and released since May 16, 1919.

Prince Edward Island – Water Act	2021	“water” includes liquid and frozen water in a watercourse or wetland or that is groundwater; “water resources” means groundwater, water in watercourses, water in wetlands or water derived from groundwater sources, watercourses or wetlands;	S3. The control of the water resources within the jurisdiction of the province is declared to be, and to have always been, vested in Her Majesty in right of the province, and no right to use, divert or withdraw water from the water resources can be, has been, or ever could have been, acquired by prescription.
Newfoundland – Water Resources Act	2017	"body of water" means a surface or subterranean source of fresh or salt water within the jurisdiction of the province, whether that source usually contains liquid or frozen water or not, and includes water above the bed of the sea that is within the jurisdiction of the province, a river, stream, brook, creek, watercourse, lake, pond, spring, lagoon, ravine, gully, canal, wetland and other flowing or standing water and the land occupied by that body of water; "water" means all water located in or derived from a body of water; "water resources" means all bodies of water within the jurisdiction of the province; S6 A reference in this Act to surface water shall be considered to include a reference to groundwater.	9. (1) The property in and the right to the use and flow of water in a body of water in the province are for all purposes vested in the Crown, and a right to divert or use water or a body of water shall not be acquired by prescription.
Yukon – Waters Act	2003	“waters” means any inland water, whether in a liquid or frozen state, on or below the surface of the land.	3(1) The Commissioner has the administration and control of all rights in respect of water in Yukon...
Northwest Territories - Northwest Territories Act	2014	waters means any inland waters — whether in a liquid or frozen state — that are on or below the surface of lands that are situated in the onshore.	52 (1) All rights in respect of waters belong to Her Majesty in right of Canada. 52 (3) The Commissioner has the administration and control of all rights in respect of waters and may exercise those rights or sell or otherwise dispose of them and may retain the proceeds of the disposition.
Nunavit – Waters Act	2014	"waters" means water under the administration and control of the Commissioner, whether in a liquid or frozen state, on or below the surface of land.	2(2) This Act applies to the use of waters and the deposit of waste in waters.



the partnership
for water sustainability in bc



TO LEARN MORE, VISIT:

<https://waterbucket.ca/about-us/>

About the Partnership for Water Sustainability in British Columbia

Incorporation of the Partnership for Water Sustainability in British Columbia as a not-for-profit society on November 19, 2010 was a milestone moment. Incorporation signified a bold leap forward.

Over two decades, the Partnership had evolved from a technical committee in the 1990s, to a “water roundtable” in the first decade of the 2000s, and then to a legal entity. The Partnership has its roots in government – local, provincial, federal.

The Partnership has a primary goal, to **build bridges of understanding** and pass the baton from the past to the present and future. To achieve the goal, the Partnership is growing a network in the local government setting. This network embraces collaborative leadership and **inter-generational collaboration**.

The Partnership believes that when each generation is receptive to accepting the inter-generational baton and embracing the wisdom that goes with it, the decisions of successive generations will benefit from and build upon the experience of those who went before them.



the partnership
for water sustainability in bc